

If you are in a hurry

SPOILER WARNING: Plot and/or ending details follow.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{\emptyset \vdash \mathbf{0}} \text{ (NIL)} \quad \frac{A \vdash_{\pi} P \quad A \leq A'}{A' \vdash_{\pi} P \quad A' \vdash_{\rho} P} \text{ (WEAK)} \quad \frac{A \vdash_{\pi} P}{!A \vdash_{\pi} !P} \text{ (REP)} \\
 \frac{i = 1, 2 : A_i \vdash_{\pi} P_i}{A_1 \odot A_2 \vdash_{\pi} P_1 \mid P_2} \text{ (PAR)} \quad \frac{A \vdash_{\pi} P}{(\nu x) A \vdash_{\pi} (\nu x) P} \text{ (RES)} \\
 \frac{A \vdash_{\pi} P \quad \forall l : \mathbf{md}(\Sigma_A(l)) \notin \{\downarrow_1, \uparrow_1, \downarrow_{\omega_0}\}}{\rho.(\nu \tilde{x}) (\rho : ((\tilde{\sigma})^{\rho}, \rho) + \rho(\tilde{x} : \tilde{\sigma}) \odot A) \vdash_{\rho} \rho(\tilde{x}).P} \text{ (INP}_{\rho})} \\
 \frac{A \vdash_{\pi} P}{(\nu \tilde{x}) \left(l : ((\tilde{\sigma})^{\downarrow_1}, \rho, \emptyset, (\tilde{x})) + l.\hat{l}.\rho(\tilde{x} : \tilde{\sigma}) \odot l.A \right) \vdash_{\pi} l(\tilde{x}).P} \text{ (INP}_1)} \\
 \frac{A \vdash P}{(\nu \tilde{x}) \left(u : ((\tilde{\sigma})^{\downarrow_{\omega_0}}, \rho, \emptyset, (\tilde{x})) + u.\rho(\tilde{x} : \tilde{\sigma}) \odot u.A \right) \vdash u(\tilde{x}).P} \text{ (INP}_{\omega})} \\
 \frac{A \vdash_{\pi} P \quad \uparrow_{\omega} \notin \mathbf{md}(\tilde{\sigma}) \quad \forall l : \mathbf{md}(\Sigma_A(l)) \notin \{\downarrow_1, \uparrow_1, \downarrow_{\omega_0}\}}{\rho.(\rho : ((\tilde{\sigma})^{\rho}, \rho) + \bar{\rho}(\tilde{x} : \tilde{\sigma}) \odot A) \vdash_{\rho} \bar{\rho}(\tilde{x}).P} \text{ (OUT}_{\rho})} \\
 \frac{A \vdash_{\pi} P}{l : ((\tilde{\sigma})^{\uparrow_1}, \rho, \emptyset, \emptyset, (\tilde{x})) + l.\check{l}.\bar{\rho}(\tilde{x} : \tilde{\sigma}) \odot l.A \vdash_{\pi} \bar{l}(\tilde{x}).P} \text{ (OUT}_1)} \\
 \frac{A \vdash P}{u : ((\tilde{\sigma})^{\uparrow_{\omega}}, \rho) + u.\check{u}.\bar{\rho}(\tilde{x} : \tilde{\sigma}) \odot u.A \vdash_{\pi} \bar{u}(\tilde{x}).P} \text{ (OUT}_{\omega})}
 \end{array}$$

Deciding Deterministic Responsiveness and Closeness in π -calculus

Maxime Gamboni

Instituto Superior Técnico

June 27, 2006

Teach Yourself Polyadic π -Calculus in 4 Minutes (I)

- Model for Communication & Concurrency
- Based around Named Channels

Teach Yourself Polyadic π -Calculus in 4 Minutes (I)

- Model for Communication & Concurrency
- Based around Named Channels

Two kinds of things are done in π .

- Sending something (ξ) over a channel (a): $\bar{a}\langle\xi\rangle.P$
- Receiving something on a channel (a), and referring to it as x afterwards: $a(x).P$

Teach Yourself Polyadic π -Calculus in 4 Minutes (II)

- Some other constructs: $P_1|P_2$, $(\nu x)P$, $!P$, $\mathbf{0}$

E.g. $\bar{a}\langle s \rangle \mid a(x).\bar{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \mid \bar{s}$

Teach Yourself Polyadic π -Calculus in 4 Minutes (II)

- Some other constructs: $P_1|P_2$, $(\nu x)P$, $!P$, $\mathbf{0}$

E.g. $\bar{a}\langle s \rangle \mid a(x).\bar{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \mid \bar{s}$

- *POLY*-adic: More than one name can be moved around at a time

E.g. $\bar{a}\langle x, y, z \rangle.P$

Encodings

- Higher level languages can be *encoded* into π :

$$\llbracket \bar{a}\langle \xi \rangle \rrbracket \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bar{a}\langle u \rangle . \underbrace{! u(\tilde{r}) . \dots}_{\text{server for } \xi}$$

Encodings

- Higher level languages can be *encoded* into π :

$$\llbracket \bar{a}\langle \xi \rangle \rrbracket \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bar{a}\langle u \rangle. \underbrace{! u(\tilde{r}). \dots}_{\text{server for } \xi}$$

- We want *Full Abstraction*:

$$(P \approx Q) \iff (\llbracket P \rrbracket \approx_R \llbracket Q \rrbracket)$$

\approx_R is not a Regular Bisimulation

- These two (high level) processes are *bisimilar*

$P = a(b).if(b)(if(\neg b) \text{ print } OOPS; \text{ else print } OK;)$

$Q = a(b).print \text{ OK};$

\approx_R is not a Regular Bisimulation

- These two (high level) processes are *bisimilar*

$P = a(b).if(b)(if(\neg b) \text{ print } OOPS; \text{ else print } OK;)$

$Q = a(b).print \text{ OK};$

- Yet their encoded forms are not.
- We also need to enforce:

\approx_R is not a Regular Bisimulation

- These two (high level) processes are *bisimilar*

$P = a(b).if(b)(if(\neg b) \text{ print } OOPS; \text{ else print } OK;)$

$Q = a(b).print \text{ OK};$

- Yet their encoded forms are not.
- We also need to enforce:

Determinism,

\approx_R is not a Regular Bisimulation

- These two (high level) processes are *bisimilar*

$P = a(b).if(b)(if(\neg b) \text{ print } OOPS; \text{ else print } OK;)$

$Q = a(b).print \text{ OK};$

- Yet their encoded forms are not.
- We also need to enforce:

Determinism, Closeness,

\approx_R is not a Regular Bisimulation

- These two (high level) processes are *bisimilar*

$P = a(b).if(b)(if(\neg b) \text{ print } OOPS; \text{ else print } OK;)$

$Q = a(b).print \text{ OK};$

- Yet their encoded forms are not.
- We also need to enforce:

Determinism, Closeness, Responsiveness

\approx_R is not a Regular Bisimulation

- These two (high level) processes are *bisimilar*

$P = a(b).if(b)(if(\neg b) \text{ print } OOPS; \text{ else print } OK;)$

$Q = a(b).print \text{ OK};$

- Yet their encoded forms are not.
- We also need to enforce:

Determinism, Closeness, Responsiveness and Uniformity.

Name Classes

Names in an encoded process (and its environment) are separated in three groups.

- For encoded data:

ω -names

Name Classes

Names in an encoded process (and its environment) are separated in three groups.

- For encoded data:

ω -names

- For responsiveness:

linear names

Name Classes

Names in an encoded process (and its environment) are separated in three groups.

- For encoded data:

ω -names

- For responsiveness:

linear names

- For the rest:

plain names

Templates and Observability

Two constructs are needed for defining bisimilarity:

Definition

Template Processes $L_\sigma(a)$: Models ω -servers in the environment.

$$L_{((p)\uparrow_1)\downarrow\omega}(a) = ! a(x).\bar{x}\langle a_1 \rangle$$

Templates and Observability

Two constructs are needed for defining bisimilarity:

Definition

Template Processes $L_\sigma(a)$: Models ω -servers in the environment.

$$L_{((p)\uparrow_1)\downarrow\omega}(a) = ! a(x).\bar{x}\langle a_1 \rangle$$

Definition

Observable Data $\Omega_P^\Sigma(a)$: Tests ω -servers in the process.

If $P = ! a(x).\bar{x}\langle z \rangle$ then $\Omega_P^\Sigma(a) = \langle z \rangle$

Discreet Bisimulation

Symmetric \mathcal{R} is a *discreet* bisimulation if PRQ implies:

Discreet Bisimulation

Symmetric \mathcal{R} is a *discreet* bisimulation if $P\mathcal{R}Q$ implies:

- 1 If $P \xrightarrow{\mu} P'$ where μ is silent or on a plain/linear channel:
 - $Q \xRightarrow{\hat{\mu}} Q'$ and $P'\mathcal{R}Q'$.

Discreet Bisimulation

Symmetric \mathcal{R} is a *discreet* bisimulation if $P\mathcal{R}Q$ implies:

- 1 If $P \xrightarrow{\mu} P'$ where μ is silent or on a plain/linear channel:
 - $Q \xrightarrow{\hat{\mu}} Q'$ and $P'\mathcal{R}Q'$.
- 2 $\forall u$ ω -input in P (say $P \xrightarrow{u(\bar{x})} P'$)
 - $\Omega_P^\Sigma(u) = \Omega_Q^\Sigma(u)$,

Discreet Bisimulation

Symmetric \mathcal{R} is a *discreet* bisimulation if $P\mathcal{R}Q$ implies:

- 1 If $P \xrightarrow{\mu} P'$ where μ is silent or on a plain/linear channel:
 - $Q \xrightarrow{\hat{\mu}} Q'$ and $P'\mathcal{R}Q'$.
- 2 $\forall u$ ω -input in P (say $P \xrightarrow{u(\bar{x})} P'$)
 - $\Omega_P^\Sigma(u) = \Omega_Q^\Sigma(u)$,
 - Safety: $P'\mathcal{R}P'$,

Discreet Bisimulation

Symmetric \mathcal{R} is a *discreet* bisimulation if $P\mathcal{R}Q$ implies:

- 1 If $P \xrightarrow{\mu} P'$ where μ is silent or on a plain/linear channel:
 - $Q \xrightarrow{\hat{\mu}} Q'$ and $P'\mathcal{R}Q'$.
- 2 $\forall u$ ω -input in P (say $P \xrightarrow{u(\bar{x})} P'$)
 - $\Omega_P^\Sigma(u) = \Omega_Q^\Sigma(u)$,
 - Safety: $P'\mathcal{R}P'$,
 - Determinism: $\exists! \xi$ s.t. $\Omega_P^\Sigma(u) = \xi$,

Discreet Bisimulation

Symmetric \mathcal{R} is a *discreet* bisimulation if $P\mathcal{R}Q$ implies:

- 1 If $P \xrightarrow{\mu} P'$ where μ is silent or on a plain/linear channel:
 - $Q \xrightarrow{\hat{\mu}} Q'$ and $P'\mathcal{R}Q'$.
- 2 $\forall u$ ω -input in P (say $P \xrightarrow{u(\tilde{x})} P'$)
 - $\Omega_P^\Sigma(u) = \Omega_Q^\Sigma(u)$,
 - Safety: $P'\mathcal{R}P'$,
 - Determinism: $\exists! \xi$ s.t. $\Omega_P^\Sigma(u) = \xi$,
 - Closeness: $P\mathcal{R}(\nu\tilde{x})P'$.

Discreet Bisimulation

Symmetric \mathcal{R} is a *discreet* bisimulation if $P\mathcal{R}Q$ implies:

- 1 If $P \xrightarrow{\mu} P'$ where μ is silent or on a plain/linear channel:
 - $Q \xrightarrow{\hat{\mu}} Q'$ and $P'\mathcal{R}Q'$.
- 2 $\forall u$ ω -input in P (say $P \xrightarrow{u(\bar{x})} P'$)
 - $\Omega_P^\Sigma(u) = \Omega_Q^\Sigma(u)$,
 - Safety: $P'\mathcal{R}P'$,
 - Determinism: $\exists! \xi$ s.t. $\Omega_P^\Sigma(u) = \xi$,
 - Closeness: $P\mathcal{R}(\nu\bar{x})P'$.
- 3 $\forall u$ ω -output in P :
 - $(L_\sigma(u) | P)\mathcal{R}Q$.

Channel Types

Definition

A Channel Type is a structure of the form:

$$a : ((\tilde{\sigma})^m, \rho, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})$$

- $\tilde{\sigma}$: Parameters
- m : Action Mode
- ρ : Protocol
- $\tilde{\alpha}$: Receptiveness
- $\tilde{\beta}$: Input Responsiveness
- $\tilde{\gamma}$: Output Responsiveness

Inter-Class Interactions

Highly constrained ω and unreliable plain names can interact.

Inter-Class Interactions

Highly constrained ω and unreliable plain names can interact.

- ω over \mathbf{p} :

$$(\nu p) (\bar{p}\langle u \rangle. ! u \cdots \mid \bar{p}\langle v \rangle. ! v \cdots \mid p(x). \cdots \mid p(y). \cdots)$$

Inter-Class Interactions

Highly constrained ω and unreliable plain names can interact.

- ω over p :

$$(\nu p) (\bar{p}\langle u \rangle. ! u \cdots \mid \bar{p}\langle v \rangle. ! v \cdots \mid p(x). \cdots \mid p(y). \cdots)$$

- p over ω :

$$\bar{u}\langle l, p, q \rangle \mid ! u(x, y, z). \bar{x}\langle y \rangle$$

Inter-Class Interactions

Highly constrained ω and unreliable plain names can interact.

- ω over \mathbf{p} :

$$(\nu \mathbf{p}) (\bar{\mathbf{p}}\langle u \rangle. ! u \cdots \mid \bar{\mathbf{p}}\langle v \rangle. ! v \cdots \mid p(x). \cdots \mid p(y). \cdots)$$

- \mathbf{p} over ω :

$$\bar{u}\langle l, p, q \rangle \mid ! u(x, y, z). \bar{x}\langle y \rangle$$

- Still, ω 's discreteness guarantees are preserved.

Anatomy of one Rule

$$\frac{A \vdash_{\pi} P}{(\nu \tilde{x}) \left(l : (\downarrow_1)((\tilde{\sigma})^{\downarrow_1}, \rho, \emptyset, (\tilde{x})) + l.\hat{l}.\rho(\tilde{x} : \tilde{\sigma}) \odot l.A \right) \vdash_{\pi} l(\tilde{x}).P} \text{ (INP}_1\text{)}$$

Anatomy of one Rule

$$\frac{A \vdash_{\pi} P}{(\nu \tilde{x}) \left(l : (\downarrow_1)((\tilde{\sigma})^{\downarrow_1}, \rho, \emptyset, (\tilde{x})) + l.\hat{l}.\rho(\tilde{x} : \tilde{\sigma}) \odot l.A \right) \vdash_{\pi} l(\tilde{x}).P} \text{ (INP}_1\text{)}$$

- l receptive now; responsive when parameters are ready

Anatomy of one Rule

$$\frac{A \vdash_{\pi} P}{(\nu \tilde{x}) \left(l : (\downarrow_1)((\tilde{\sigma})^{\downarrow_1}, \rho, \emptyset, (\tilde{x})) + l.\hat{l}.\rho(\tilde{x} : \tilde{\sigma}) \odot l.A \right) \vdash_{\pi} l(\tilde{x}).P} \text{ (INP}_1\text{)}$$

- l receptive now; responsive when parameters are ready
- Remote parameters

Anatomy of one Rule

$$\frac{A \vdash_{\pi} P}{(\nu \tilde{x}) \left(l : (\downarrow_1)((\tilde{\sigma})^{\downarrow_1}, \rho, \emptyset, (\tilde{x})) + l.\hat{l}.\rho(\tilde{x} : \tilde{\sigma}) \odot l.A \right) \vdash_{\pi} l(\tilde{x}).P} \text{ (INP}_1\text{)}$$

- l receptive now; responsive when parameters are ready
- Remote parameters
- Continuation

Anatomy of one Rule

$$\frac{A \vdash_{\pi} P}{(\nu \tilde{x}) \left(l : (\downarrow_1)((\tilde{\sigma})^{\downarrow_1}, \rho, \emptyset, (\tilde{x})) + l.\hat{l}.\rho(\tilde{x} : \tilde{\sigma}) \odot l.A \right) \vdash_{\pi} l(\tilde{x}).P} \text{ (INP}_1\text{)}$$

- l receptive now; responsive when parameters are ready
- Remote parameters
- Continuation
- P must provide resources specified in $\tilde{\sigma}$

(Expected) Results

Discreetness:

Theorem

$$(A \vdash_{\pi} P) \Rightarrow (P \approx_R P)$$

(Expected) Results

Discreetness:

Theorem

$$(A \vdash_{\pi} P) \Rightarrow (P \approx_R P)$$

Soundness:

Theorem

$$(A \vdash_{\pi} P) \wedge \Sigma_A(a) = (\dots)^{\uparrow 1} \Rightarrow (P \xrightarrow{(\nu \bar{z}) \bar{a} \langle \bar{x} \rangle})$$

$$(A \vdash_{\pi} P) \wedge \Sigma_A(a) = (\dots)^{\downarrow 1} \Rightarrow (P \xrightarrow{a \langle \bar{x} \rangle})$$

(Expected) Results

Discreetness:

Theorem

$$(A \vdash_{\pi} P) \Rightarrow (P \approx_R P)$$

Soundness:

Theorem

$$(A \vdash_{\pi} P) \wedge \Sigma_A(a) = (\dots)^{\uparrow_1} \Rightarrow (P \xrightarrow{(\nu \bar{z}) \bar{a} \langle \bar{x} \rangle})$$

$$(A \vdash_{\pi} P) \wedge \Sigma_A(a) = (\dots)^{\downarrow_1} \Rightarrow (P \xrightarrow{a(\bar{x})})$$

Safety:

Theorem

$$(A \vdash_{\pi} P) \wedge (P \rightarrow P') \Rightarrow (A \vdash_{\pi} P')$$

Thank You (Obrigado, Shukria, Kiitos, Merci)!

The paper can be found at <http://gamboni.org/maxime/>